The origins of Basketmaker people and the importance of maize in their diets are topics of ongoing debate. To address these issues, we report accelerator radiocarbon dates and stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios on Basketmaker and Puebloan burials from the Four Corners region of the American Southwest and compare these data to previously published findings on Basketmaker diets at Talus Village and elsewhere in southwestern Colorado, Utah, and Arizona. Forty of the 42 burials in this study are directly dated, 33 are Basketmaker and include samples from White Dog Cave, Kinboko Cave I, and other well-known early Basketmaker sites in the Marsh Pass region of northeastern Arizona (Figure 1). These data provide yet another body of evidence for relatively heavy reliance on maize prior to the beginning of the Christian era in support of previous work by other researchers at Basketmaker II sites in the region.

Between 1914 and 1923, Alfred V. Kidder, a young archaeologist with a new Ph.D., and Samuel J. Guernsey, an artist with the Peabody Museum at Harvard, explored various caves and rock shelters in the vicinity of Marsh Pass and Monument Valley in northeastern Arizona (Guernsey 1931; Wills 1995).
Guernsey and Kidder 1921; Kidder 1924; Kidder and Guernsey 1919). Here early professional archaeologists investigated Puebloan origins and confirmed the existence of an aceramic farming people known as Basketmaker (see Matson 1991 for an excellent review), expanding the Wetherills’ description of Basketmaker material culture first recognized in the Grand Gulch region of southeastern Utah (Atkins 1993; Blackburn and Williamson 1997; Phillips 1993). Stratigraphic evidence indicated an agricultural complex overlain by Puebloan remains and characterized by the presence of squash and maize, “however, this important cereal was less highly developed among the [Basket Makers] than among the [Cliff-dwellers]” (Kidder and Guernsey 1919:154). Beans were not present, but pinyon, acorn, and other wild foods were noted. Distinctive material traits included round pithouses (reported by Pepper [1902] for Grand Gulch, but not found by Kidder and Guernsey in Marsh Pass), sandals of yucca, cedar bark and cordage, fur cloth, finely coiled basketry, twined bags and the atlatl and dart (Kidder and Guernsey 1919:204–212). Based on excavations in Canyon del Muerto, Earl Morris (1939:11–19) expanded the trait list to include digging sticks, hide for clothing, and assorted beads and pendants including marine shell. Morris also speculated that the principle Basketmaker II food was maize supplemented by squash, small seeds, and animal protein, the latter indicated by the recovery of bone tools from elk, deer and mountain sheep, and skins from “animals of all sizes” (Morris 1939:15).

In 1927, following two decades of exploration, Kidder and his colleagues formalized a chronology for Southwestern material culture that came to be known as the Pecos Classification (see Reed 2000 for a review). This chronology followed a seemingly slow evolutionary transition from mobile foraging lifeways to full reliance on maize agriculture, beginning at 1500–2000 B.C. with the introduction of the Mexican cultigen. Basketmaker I was a pre-agricultural state “adumbrating [prefiguring] later developments” (Kidder 1927:490) followed by the Basketmaker II stage, a preceramic culture with introductory maize agriculture and atlatl use as identifying traits. Basketmaker III was then signaled by the introduction of “slab houses,” above ground storage, ceramics and the bow and arrow (Lipe 1993). The Pecos classification was widely adopted and its nomenclature remains in common use.

In 1962, Emil Haury published a model that was in broad agreement with the Pecos chronology. Haury (1962) argued that prior to the beginning of the Christian era maize played a minor role in Basketmaker economic practices, restricted to upland settings due to the cultigen’s subtropical Mexican origins and consequent low drought tolerance. The economic role of maize did not increase until after A.D. 1 coincident with the development of irrigation strategies and drought-tolerant maize
variants. Increased use of radiocarbon dating further supported Haury’s “gradualist” model. A widely accepted ca. 3000 B.C. radiocarbon date (Dick 1965) on uncarbonized wood and maize from Bat Cave in central New Mexico appeared to indicate that maize was present earlier and the transition to reliance on maize even slower than previously thought.

In the late 1980s, Wills (1988) questioned the stratigraphic integrity of the Bat Cave maize date favoring a younger date, more in keeping with maize dating to 2500–2000 B.P. from aceramic strata in Tularosa Cave. Subsequently Smiley (1994) reported a suite of radiocarbon dates ranging from cal 2500–1500 B.P. on Basketmaker sites in the northern Southwest that accords nicely with Will’s revision, although a Bat Cave maize date of cal 4000 B.P. is in near agreement with a subsequently acquired date on maize from Three Fir Shelter in Marsh Pass (Smiley 1994; 1997:Figure 2.3) (see also Carpenter et al. 2002).

Chronological issues, along with the growing recognition that Basketmaker material culture and lifeways represented a marked departure from that of the Archaic period (e.g., Geib and Spurr 2002), have led to ongoing reconsideration of some aspects of the Pecos model (see Huckell et al. 2002 for a review). Researchers have argued that maize cultivation was not adopted slowly over four millennia by an indigenous foraging population but was a significant component of aceramic Southwest economies long before the Christian era (Chisholm and Matson 1994; Fish et al. 1986; Geib and Spurr 2000; Gilpin 1994; Huckell 1988, 1995; Lipe 1993; Mabry 1998; Martin 1999; Matson 1994, 2005; Matson and Chisholm 1991; Smiley 1993, 1994; Vivian 2000), perhaps introduced into northeastern Arizona and southeastern Utah by farmers migrating into the region at ca. 600 B.C. (e.g., Berry 1982, Berry and Berry 1986; Carpenter et al. 2002; Geib and Davidson 1994; Geib and Spurr 2000; Matson 1991, 2003, 2005). In this regard, Geib and Spurr (2002) contrasted the characteristics of typically ephemeral, Late Archaic sites on Rainbow Plateau in northeastern Arizona with those of multi-featured, open sites dating to the Basketmaker period. The former were devoid of storage features and consisted of asynchronous hearths on dune ridges in association with lithic debitage and occasional ground stone, whereas the latter contained pithouses, bell-shaped pits and other storage features, extensive midden, maize and human burials, showing little evidence for cultural continuity with the Late Archaic. Taking a slightly different approach, Huckell et al. (2002) have argued that dry farming in a highly stochastic environment such as the American Southwest necessitated a minimum investment in field size of two to three acres to mitigate against persistent shortfalls. Their argument implies that a casual investment in maize farming, such as might be undertaken by mobile foragers, had a high probability of producing yields too low to warrant the investment. This line of reasoning does not preclude the adoption of maize by Late Archaic foraging groups; however it does suggest that a slow, gradual transition to reliance on maize that entailed centuries of casual planting was unlikely, particularly in areas like Rainbow Plateau where it appears that Late Archaic and Basketmaker complexes were separated by a 400-year hiatus (Geib and Spurr 2002). An occupational hiatus is also evident on Cedar Mesa where use of Old Man Cave terminated at 5227–4846 B.C. (Geib and Davidson 1994:Table 1) with no subsequent cultural activity until ca. A.D. 4–336 when Basketmaker II groups began using the cave for storage and burials (see also Matson 1994).

The debate about an indigenous versus introduced origin for Basketmaker people has been somewhat complicated by a lack of agreement regarding what constitutes the Basketmaker complex itself. Although Kidder’s (1927) initial definition still holds in a general way, the extensive research cited above has refined our understanding of this period. Central to this issue is the timing of reliance on maize. We suggest that the archaeological signature characteristic of aceramic maize farmers signals the appearance of the Basketmaker cultural complex and is decidedly different from that of foragers with either little or no maize use. As noted, the former tended to construct pithouses accompanied by volume storage and generated substantial middens indicating relatively long residential tenures (e.g., Geib and Spurr 2000). Mobile foragers, on the other hand, left more modest artifact scatters and invested less heavily in constructed features (Geib and Spurr 2002). Geib (2006) has also pointed out that cultural assemblages associated with Late Archaic foraging groups on Rainbow Plateau are distinctly different from material
remains recovered at Basketmaker II occupations. These strategic and material differences suggest the arrival of an incoming farming people new to the Colorado Plateau. We return to this topic in the Discussion section.

In a recent article, Buzon and Gauer (2002) examined the paleopathology of 29 Basketmaker III (A.D. 450–550) individuals from pithouse burials at the SU site in northwestern New Mexico. Frequencies of porotic hyperostosis, caries, and enamel hypoplasias were similar to those of known agriculture groups, indicating the population experienced high levels of physiological stress commonly associated with intensive maize agriculture (see also Schollmeyer and Turner 2004). The authors concluded that “[t]he SU population appears to display higher rates of these stress indicators than typically seen at preagricultural sites and/or transitional sites” (Buzon and Gauer 2002:117) and recommended carbon isotope and trace element analysis to confirm their findings.

Bone collagen, stable isotope values and accelerator radiocarbon dates reported here speak directly to these issues with data from classic Basketmaker sites explored early in the twentieth century, sites that laid the foundation for our understanding of this cultural complex. We include data on nine Puebloan interments for comparative purposes: four from Camp Cemetery, Arizona, and five individuals from two Chacoan Great Houses. Site descriptions are followed by a brief discussion of methodology.

Site Descriptions

Marsh Pass Region

Sayodneechee Canyon, Burial Cave. During the 1914 season Kidder and Guernsey (1919:27) investigated several Puebloan “ruins” in the vicinity of Sayodneechee Canyon (Figure 1), a short canyon containing numerous caves including Burial Cave. Nearly hidden behind a falling dune, the long narrow cave contained, toward the rear, four circular cists excavated into the “hardpan.” Multiple Basketmaker-age burials were found in each. Cist C was thought to contain three adults and an infant; however five adults were identified in the Peabody Museum collections and each was analyzed (Table 1). All burials were accompanied by baskets, various beads, and, in one case, an atlatl weight. Maize cobs and faunal remains were present in the overlaying debris, but were not clearly associated with the Basketmaker occupation.

Kinboko, Cave I. In 1915 the Peabody group explored Caves I and II in Kinboko, a side canyon extending to the northwest from Long House Valley (Kidder and Guernsey 1919:Plate 1). Cave I is a large, north-facing cavern located approximately one-half mile up canyon. Although evidence exists for light use by Puebloan people as well as either Ute or Navajo, Basketmaker groups used the cave intensively for storage and burial purposes. Nearly 60 adobe-floored, slab-lined cists lay on the east side of the cave, 20 of which apparently contained burials prior to prehistoric looting events (Kidder and Guernsey 1919:78). Relatively intact cists typically contained more than one individual, usually an adult and one or two infants (Kidder and Guernsey 1919:83). The bodies were often encased in adobe, making removal difficult for the excavators as well as for ancient looters. In addition to human remains, a “rubbish” layer had accumulated along the east wall of the cave, presumably the result of past grave plundering, with isolated human bone, basketry, sandals, and tools. One cist contained “nearly a bushel of corn cobs.” Undisturbed burials included goods such as fur-cordage robes, basketry, twined bags, atlatls, sandals, hide, and beads.

Analyses focused on burials from Cists 4, 7, 10, 11, 15, 16, and 27 (Table 1). The sample included adults (4), subadults (3), and infants (3). Not all cists were described by Kidder and Guernsey (1919:78–84); however nothing observed in the Peabody collections was contrary to descriptions provided by the excavators.

White Dog Cave. White Dog Cave, visited in 1916, is among the best-known Basketmaker caves reported by Kidder and Guernsey due to its rich Basketmaker II assemblage. South-facing, the cave lies in an inconspicuous location ca. six miles north and east of Marsh Pass. The cave entrance is large, 120 ft wide and 125 ft high, and is described as occupying a “commanding position in the rounded front of a buttress-like swell of the cliff” (Guernsey and Kidder 1921:10). A massive rock fall filled much of the cave’s central portion. Similar to Kinboko Cave I, numerous cists were grouped along the east wall. Multiple burials were present in a
number of cists, but most had been emptied prehistorically by looters. Again, Guernsey and Kidder (1921:13) focused on “salient features of the more typical” cists rather than on an exhaustive treatment of each. Human remains from Cists 10, 22, 27, 31, and 39 were sampled here and include adults (2), subadults (2), and infants (2) (Table 1).

Cave 6. Cave 6, investigated during the 1917 field season (Guernsey and Kidder 1921:30), contained Basketmaker debris and a single slab-lined cist similar to those found in Kinboko Cave I. Like many of those in Cave I, the cist burial had been disturbed prehistorically. Guernsey and Kidder (1921:31) identified two individuals—a child and adult—although other human bone fragments were noted. Grave goods typical of those already mentioned were present as well as a squash rind fragment. We identified the remains of an infant as well as a young child and both were included in the study.

Camp Cemetery. Just north of the mouth of Kinboko on the north side of Long House Valley, Kidder and Guernsey (1919:66) excavated into a “sherd-covered slope” below Long House Ruin. Human bone on the surface suggested the presence of burials and the excavators discovered six individuals—three adult males, two adult females, and an infant—interred in “oval excavations” covered with logs and bark. All but Skeleton 6 were accompanied by burial goods, primarily whole or broken ceramic vessels, beads, and arrow points suggesting a Pueblo II/III age for these remains, substantiated by their dates (Table 1).

Tsegi Canyon, Cave 3. In 1920, Samuel Guernsey (1931) traveled back to the Marsh Pass area and sampled three caves in Tsegi Canyon. Cave 3 lies in the north-trending branch of the canyon and contained a single Basketmaker II grave in which two individuals had been interred. The upper burial had been disturbed prehistorically; but the lower burial was largely intact, the “partly mumified body of an eighteen-year-old male” wrapped in a fur-cordage robe (Guernsey 1931:13). Grave goods included atlatl weights, a “bladder stone,” and bone beads.

Prayer Rock District/Red Rock Valley

Broken Flute Cave. The Prayer Rock District is east of Monument Valley and north of the Lukachukai Mountains in extreme eastern Arizona, lying within the drainage of Red Wash, a north flowing tributary of the San Juan River (Morris 1980:6). Here in 1931 Earl H. Morris, sponsored by the Carnegie Institute, intensively excavated six caves and tested several others (Morris 1980:7). The largest of these is Broken Flute Cave situated at the head of Atahonez Wash. Morris excavated 16 pit-houses and many cists representing Basketmaker II and III occupations (Morris 1980:13), encountering eight burials. The individual analyzed was a Basketmaker III male adult wrapped in blankets of fur and feather cloth. No data is available on the other Red Rock Valley male adult sampled for the study; however, the site was likely excavated by Morris.

Canyon del Muerto

Battle Cave. Also referred to as Battle Cove (D. Morris 1986:14), this site is a large, shallow, north-facing alcove across Canyon del Muerto from Antelope House. Earl H. Morris excavated the site in 1929 as part of the seventh Bernheimer expedition sponsored by the American Museum of Natural History (Lister and Lister 1968:136). Thirteen Basketmaker II burials found in slab-lined cists were disarticulated and many displayed evidence of violent conflict such as crushed faces and skulls. In an area away from the cists, Morris discovered the partially mumified remains of a female adult with an arrow wound who had also received a heavy blow to the head causing massive facial damage (Carlyle 2003:74; Turner and Turner 1999:141). Overwhelming evidence of conflict led Earl Morris to name the site as he did. No data accompanied the individual analyzed here other than general provenience.

Methods

Stable Carbon Isotope Analysis

The stable carbon isotope values reported below monitor the ratio of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ in the amino acid sequences that comprise bone collagen fibrils and reflect reliance on maize for the following reasons. When $\text{CO}_2$ is taken up during photosynthesis, metabolic processes alter or fractionate the ratio of $^{13}\text{C}^{12}\text{C}$, depleting plant tissues in $^{13}\text{C}$ relative to atmosphere (–7.7 ‰). This ratio is expressed in delta notation ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) as parts per mil (‰) differ-
Table 1. Basketmaker and Puebloan Burials by Sample Number and Site with Radiocarbon Measurements, Stable Isotope Values and Preservation Criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Peabody Museum</th>
<th>Field Designation</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>AA No.</th>
<th>14C Age Interv.</th>
<th>95% Conf. Interv.</th>
<th>2 Sigma 2 Sigma</th>
<th>% C:N Yield</th>
<th>Atomic C:N Atomic Collagen Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMNH H3671</td>
<td>Chaco Cyn, Pueblo Bonito</td>
<td>Rm 33, skl 13</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>57713</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>AD 690-944</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH H5805</td>
<td>Chaco Cyn, Pueblo Bonito</td>
<td>Rm 53/56</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>57714</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>AD 1023-1208</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH H3672</td>
<td>Chaco Cyn, Pueblo Bonito</td>
<td>Rm 33, skl 14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>57715</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>AD 690-940</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH H15010</td>
<td>Chaco Cyn, Kin Bineola</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>57716</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>AD 891-1147</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNH H999608</td>
<td>Cyn del Muerto, Battle Cave</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>57717</td>
<td>2132</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-161</td>
<td>BC 335-44</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59451.0.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist C, skl 4</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>57719</td>
<td>2177</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>BC 358-95</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59451a.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist C</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>61193</td>
<td>2208</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>-274</td>
<td>BC 393-117</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59451b.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist C</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>57720</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>BC 172-AD 53</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59452.0.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist C, skl 5</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>61194</td>
<td>2149</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-192</td>
<td>BC 375-44</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59453.0.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist C, skl 13</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>57721</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-258</td>
<td>BC 380-114</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59458.1.2</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist D, skl 1</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>57722</td>
<td>2112</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-133</td>
<td>BC 351-1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59460.0.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist A, skl 11/12</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>57723</td>
<td>2078</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-95</td>
<td>BC 201-AD 23</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59461b.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist B</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>61195</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-272</td>
<td>BC 389-117</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59461b.2</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist B</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>57724</td>
<td>2161</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-226</td>
<td>BC 361-59</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59461d.1</td>
<td>Sayedneeche Cave</td>
<td>Cist B</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>57725</td>
<td>2119</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-142</td>
<td>BC 353-1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59468.0.1</td>
<td>Camp Cemetery</td>
<td>Skl 1</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>57726</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>AD 1042-1272</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59469.0.1</td>
<td>Camp Cemetery</td>
<td>Skl 2</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>57727</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>AD 1039-1257</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59470.0.1</td>
<td>Camp Cemetery</td>
<td>Skl 3</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>Not dated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-5-10/59472.0.1</td>
<td>Camp Cemetery</td>
<td>Skl 5</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>40-45</td>
<td>57728</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>AD 1022-1293</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Locality</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Bone Strength</td>
<td>Bone - Bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>15-11-10/59691.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 4/15</td>
<td>unk adult</td>
<td>61197</td>
<td>2179</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-247</td>
<td>BC 379-95</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>15-11-10/59692.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 7/11</td>
<td>m? adult</td>
<td>57729</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-167</td>
<td>BC 357-4</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>15-11-10/59693.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 27</td>
<td>unk infant</td>
<td>61198</td>
<td>2075</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-93</td>
<td>BC 347-AD 53</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>616</td>
<td>15-11-10/59693.0.2</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 27</td>
<td>unk adult</td>
<td>57730</td>
<td>2052</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-63</td>
<td>BC 172-AD 51</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>15-11-10/59694.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 27</td>
<td>unk adult</td>
<td>61199</td>
<td>2113</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-138</td>
<td>BC 355-AD 2</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>618</td>
<td>15-11-10/59695.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 16</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>57731</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-287</td>
<td>BC 396-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
<td>15-11-10/59696.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 4/7/10</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>61200</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-117</td>
<td>BC 352-AD 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>15-11-10/59696.0.2</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 4/7/10</td>
<td>unk infant</td>
<td>57732</td>
<td>2186</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-258</td>
<td>BC 381-113</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621</td>
<td>15-11-10/A2385.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 16</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>13-20</td>
<td>61201</td>
<td>2205</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-271</td>
<td>BC 393-114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622</td>
<td>15-11-10/N827.0.1</td>
<td>Kinboko Cyn, Cave 1</td>
<td>Cist 5</td>
<td>unk adult</td>
<td>57733</td>
<td>2146</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-186</td>
<td>BC 360-46</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>16-9-10/A2890.0.1</td>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>Cist 27</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>57734</td>
<td>2288</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-317</td>
<td>BC 405-204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624</td>
<td>16-9-10/A2898.0.1</td>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>Cist 22</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>22-24</td>
<td>57735</td>
<td>2221</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-282</td>
<td>BC 389-174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625</td>
<td>16-9-10/A2899.0.1</td>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>Cist 22</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>57736</td>
<td>2240</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-286</td>
<td>BC 393-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626</td>
<td>16-9-10/A3110.0.1</td>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>Cist 31</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>57737</td>
<td>2287</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-315</td>
<td>BC 405-203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627</td>
<td>16-9-10/A3060.1</td>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>Cist 39</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>5-1.5</td>
<td>57738</td>
<td>2228</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-284</td>
<td>BC 391-178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628</td>
<td>16-9-10/A3233.0.1</td>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>Cist 10</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>5-1.5</td>
<td>57739</td>
<td>2302</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-364</td>
<td>BC 481-202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629</td>
<td>17-3-10/A3503.0.1</td>
<td>Marsh Pass, Cave 6</td>
<td>Burial cist</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>57740</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-164</td>
<td>BC 356-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>17-3-10/A3503.0.2</td>
<td>Marsh Pass, Cave 6</td>
<td>Burial cist</td>
<td>unk</td>
<td>5-1.5</td>
<td>61202</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-154</td>
<td>BC 355-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>20-5-10/A5109.0.1</td>
<td>Tsugi Canyon, Cave 3</td>
<td>Burial 2</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>57741</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-368</td>
<td>BC 499-202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>31-1-10/N2525.0.1</td>
<td>Broken Flute Cave</td>
<td>Burial 2</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>57742</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>AD 399-769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633</td>
<td>35-5-10/N1267.0.1</td>
<td>Red Rock Valley</td>
<td>Burial 2</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>57743</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>AD 560-759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685</td>
<td>AMNH H5803</td>
<td>Chaco Cyn, Pueblo Bonito</td>
<td>Rm 53/56</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>Not dated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aUnless otherwise indicated
*bAmerican Museum of Natural History
ence from an internationally recognized standard (PDB) assigned by definition a value of 0 ‰ and computed as follows:

\[
\delta^{13}C = \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} \times 1000 \text{‰}
\]

where \( R = ^{13}C/^{12}C \).

The degree of fractionation associated with photosynthesis covaries with the kinetic properties of carbon uptake and enzymatic processes of carbon fixation (Farquhar et al. 1989). In terrestrial plants, carbon isotope fractionation is contingent upon which of three photosynthetic pathways (C₃, C₄, CAM) metabolizes atmospheric CO₂. Cool season grasses, trees, tubers, and most bushy plants employ C₃ photosynthetic mechanisms discriminating heavily against ¹³C, expressing a mean \( \delta^{13}C \) value of –26.7 ± 2.7 ‰ (n = 370) (Cerling et al. 1998:Figure 3). A small set of forbs and all warm-season grasses such as maize (Zea mays), common to regions where daytime growing-season temperature exceeds 22°C and precipitation exceeds 25 mm (Ehleringer et al. 1997), use C₄ photosynthesis resulting in less discrimination against ¹³C and an average \( \delta^{13}C \) value of –12.5 ± 1.1 ‰ (n = 455) (Cerling et al. 1998:Figure 3). Sand dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus) is the only native C₄ grass common to archaeological assemblages in the study area, although amaranth (Amaranthus sp.) and saltbush (Atriplex canescens) have also been identified. Cacti and some members of the Agavaceae (yucca and agaves) use the CAM pathway, which alternates between C₃ and C₄ photosynthetic mechanisms and can produce isotope signatures as positive as C₄ photosynthesis. Plants grown before fossil fuel depletion of atmospheric CO₂ are enriched 1–2 ‰ relative to the above averages (Tieszen and Fagre 1993a), for example, archaeological maize cobs and kernels from sites in the northern Southwest have a mean \( \delta^{13}C \) value of –10.1 ± 0.7 ‰ (n = 34), (Coltrain and Leavitt 2002:Table 5; Smiley and Robins 1997:Table A.1) \( \delta^{13}C \) values are passed from producer to consumer leaving a diagnostic signature in consumer tissues that does not covary with the skeletal element analyzed or sex of the sample independent of differences in feeding ecology (Hobson and Schwarcz 1986; Lovell et al. 1986). Fractionation between plant tissues and consumer bone collagen is 5 ‰ and approximates 1 ‰ at higher trophic levels. \( \delta^{13}C \) values represent a weighted average of long-term dietary intake since the carbon in adult bone collagen turns over slowly, requiring ca. 30 years to replace existing carbon with an equivalent amount of carbon (Stenhouse and Baxter 1977, 1979:333; see also Harkness and Walton 1972 and Libby et al. 1964). Accordingly, the bone collagen of individuals with diets comprised primarily of wild C₃ plant foods will exhibit mean \( \delta^{13}C \) values in the –22 ‰ to –19 ‰ range, while individuals heavily reliant on maize will express \( \delta^{13}C \) values in the –10 ‰ to –6 ‰ range (e.g., Coltrain and Leavitt 2002; Ezzo 1993; Martin 1999; Matson and Chisholm 1991; Spielmann et al. 1990) such as those reported below. Within this range, more positive values indicate greater reliance on C₄ foods such as maize.

Work with rodents on experimental diets (Ambrose and Norr 1993; Tieszen and Fagre 1993b) has led to the impression that bone collagen \( \delta^{13}C \) values are heavily biased by the \( \delta^{13}C \) value of ingested animal protein. Although protein biasing occurs, it has become increasingly clear that the degree of biasing is correlated to the source of carbon used for synthesis of non-essential amino acids (neAAs) (Schwarcz 2001). Non-essential amino acids occupy two of three positions in bone collagen’s cross-linked amino acids chains. If protein intake is adequate to supply both essential and neAAs, the stable carbon isotope (SCI) signature of bone collagen will reflect that of dietary protein. This is a condition seldom obtained even by carnivores, since they experience periodic resource stress and must, at least occasionally, rely on stored macronutrients for the manufacture of neAAs (Schwarcz 2001). In omnivores, the carbohydrate component of the diet may frequently supply carbon for neAA synthesis. In these cases, bone collagen \( \delta^{13}C \) values more closely reflect the isotope signature of total diet. A recent study with burials from the Great Salt Lake wetlands (Coltrain and Leavitt 2002) provides inferential support for this understanding. Although dietary protein carried a C₃ or isotopically depleted signature (Coltrain and Leavitt 2002:Table 5), some individuals expressed C₄, or isotopically enriched SCI ratios. Clearly, enriched SCI ratios can reflect the carbohydrate component of sampled diets. Thus, in settings
where protein intake is moderate to low, collagen δ¹³C values provide a conservative but useful measure of maize consumption, facilitating diagnostic estimates of sampled diets for comparative purposes.

**Stable Nitrogen Isotope Analysis**

Stable nitrogen isotope analysis follows from the understanding that ¹⁵N/¹⁴N increases by approximately 2–4 ‰ with each increase in trophic level due primarily to fractionation during urea production, enriching the isotope signature of nitrogen available for protein synthesis (Schoeller 1999). The ratio of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N is also expressed in delta notation and calculated by substituting R = ¹⁵N/¹⁴N into Equation 1. Organic δ¹⁵N is commonly a positive value since atmospheric nitrogen is the standard at 0 ‰. The trophic level effects of nitrogen metabolism are clearly illustrated in nursing infants whose δ¹⁵N values are typically 3 ‰ above adult diets (Coltrain 1996; Coltrain and Leavitt 2002; Katzenberg 1993).

Most terrestrial plant taxa obtain nitrogen from soil ammonium (NH₄⁺) or nitrate (NO₃⁻) and have δ¹⁵N values of 3–6 ‰ with a 0–9 ‰ range contingent upon temperature and aridity (Pate 1994). A small sample of archaeological maize cobs and kernels from sites in the northern Southwest are at the upper end of this range averaging 6.9 ± 3.7 ‰ (n = 17) (Coltrain and Leavitt 2002:Table 5). In this regard, studies indicate a general relationship between aridity and δ¹⁵N values such that vegetation declines 1.0–1.3 ‰ per 100 mm of rainfall (Ambrose 1991; Gröcke et al. 1997; Heaton et al. 1986; Pate et al. 1998; Robinson 2001; Schwarcz et al. 1999). Independent of effective moisture, plants that fix atmospheric nitrogen such as legumes have a mean δ¹⁵N value of 1 ‰, with a –2 to 2 ‰ range (Evans and Ehleringer 1994; Pate 1994). Low nitrogen values are also characteristic of taxa growing in association with nitrogen-fixing mycorrhizae in biological soil crusts found in arid settings throughout the Southwest. Accordingly, pinyon (Pinus monophyla), acorn (Quercus sp.) and a suite of tubers including balsam (Balsamorhiza sp.) and bitterroot (Lewisia rediviva), collected in the Great Basin and on the Colorado Plateau, have produced low δ¹⁵N values (Coltrain and Leavitt 2002:Table 5). Herbivores in temperate climates typically exhibit δ¹⁵N values of 6–9 ‰ (Coltrain and Leavitt 2002:Table 5), while arid-land species and non-obligate drinkers, those that recycle urea, reflect their water-conservation strategies in more positive δ¹⁵N values (Ambrose 1991).

**Laboratory Procedures**

Approximately 500 mg of cortical bone were cleaned of surface contaminants then demineralized whole in 0.6N HCl at 4º C; sterile ddH₂O was used throughout and glassware was baked out 3 hrs at 550º C. The collagen pseudomorph was then rinsed to neutrality, treated with 5 percent KOH to remove organic contaminants, rinsed to neutrality and lyophilized. Approximately 100 mg of lyophilized collagen were gelatinized in 5 ml of water (pH 3) for 24 hours at 120º C. Water-soluble and -insoluble phases were separated by filtration and the former was lyophilized and weighed to obtain a collagen yield. δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values were determined by flash combustion to produce CO₂ and N₂ and measured against the appropriate reference gas on a Finnigan Delta Plus mass spectrometer with Carlo Erba EA118 CHN interface. Stable isotope measurements and weight percent C and N values were obtained from a single sample combustion. All samples met established preservation criteria (see Table 1; Ambrose 1990). Analytical precision is ± 0.1 ‰ for carbon and ± 0.2 ‰ for nitrogen. Accelerator radiocarbon dates were run on purified bone collagen, normalized with measured δ¹³C values and calibrated with Calib 5.0.2.

**Results**

**Accelerator Radiocarbon Dating**

All individuals, with the exception of Camp Cemetery and Chacoan remains, date to the Basketmaker periods (Table 1; Figure 2). The two Red Rock Valley burials are Basketmaker III in age; the remainder are Basketmaker II. Dated Camp Cemetery burials are Pueblo II or Pueblo III in age and Chacoan burials date to the Pueblo I and Pueblo II periods. Among Basketmaker II burials, those from White Dog Cave are the oldest, dating to a two sigma range of cal 481–174 B.C. with confidence interval (CI) midpoints between cal 364–284 B.C. Sayodneechee Canyon and Kinboko burials are generally younger in age and fully contemporary.
with virtually identical two sigma ranges (Sayod-
neechee cal 389 B.C.–A.D. 53, Kinboko cal 396
B.C.-A.D. 51), and CI midpoints (cal 287–58 B.C.)
that slightly overlap those of White Dog Cave. The
Canyon del Muerto burial and Cave 6 infants group
temporally with the Sayodneechee and Kinboko
Canyon burials, whereas the adult male from Tsegi
Canyon Cave 3 is contemporary with the oldest
White Dog Cave burial (Table 1).

$\delta^{13}C$ Values

All burials met established criteria for well-
preserved bone collagen; atomic C:N ratios and
collagen yields are reported in Table 1. Stable car-on isotope values range from $-13.7 \%e$ to $-5.9 \%e$
(Table 1) with a mean value of $-7.8 \pm 1.7 \%e$ (Table
2). All $\delta^{13}C$ values are plotted in Figures 3 and 4;
the former includes previously published data on
eastern Basketmaker diets (Coltrain et al. 2006) for
comparative purposes. Forty of 42 individuals
exhibit $\delta^{13}C$ values between $-10.1 \%e$ and $-5.9 \%e$.

Basketmaker II burials are generally depleted in
$\delta^{13}C$ relative to Camp Cemetery PII/III individu-
als; however, sample means are not significantly
different ($t = 2.306; df = 8; p = .15$) and, with the
exception of Caves 3 and 6 ($n = 3$), Basketmaker
II site mean values are within $1 \%e$ of Camp Ceme-
tery and clearly within the range of later Formative
economies (Table 2). When grouped without results
from Caves 3 and 6, the mean for Basketmaker II
burials is $-7.5 \pm 1.1 \%e$. This is a more positive $\delta^{13}C$
value than mean values reported for burials from
Pueblo II Virgin Anasazi sites (S. Martin 1999),
Pueblo II sites on Black Mesa, AZ (Martin et al.
1991) and Basketmaker and Puebloan sites on
Mesa Verde, Colorado (Decker and Tieszen 1989).
This value is also as or more positive than those
reported for burials from Grasshopper Pueblo, Ari-
izona (A.D. 1275–1330) (Ezzo 1993) and Swarts
Ruin, New Mexico (data in possession of the first
author), as well as burials from Pecos Pueblo, New
Mexico, dating to the Black-on-white period (A.D.
1200–1300) (Spielmann et al. 1990) (Table 2; see
also Coltrain and Leavitt 2002). In addition, the
average $\delta^{13}C$ value for all Basketmaker II burials
was more positive than the Chaco Canyon mean
without the elimination of outliers and virtually
identical when the Chacoan outlier and Basket-
maker Caves 3 and 6 burials were eliminated from
calculations.
Table 2. Southwest Formative Stable Isotope Means and Standard Deviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period/Location</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C ‰</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}$N ‰</th>
<th>Ref. a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marsh Pass, Arizona</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-7.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker II/III</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-7.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker II</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-8.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sayodneechee Cave</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-7.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinboko Cyn Cave 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-7.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Dog Cave</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-7.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caves 3/6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-11.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon del Muerto</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-6.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Red Rock Valley)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo III</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-7.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Camp Cemetery)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaco Cyn Great Houses w/o Outlier</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-8.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Colorado</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-7.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-8.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Talus Village)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker III/Pueblo I (Sites 22/23)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-6.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo II/III</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-7.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Formative Sites</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Mesa, AZ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.6 ± 3</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Anasazi, UT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8.0 ± 2</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Man Cave, Cedar Mesa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-9.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Mesa, UT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-7.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketmaker III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Anasazi, UT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-10.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Anasazi, UT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-9.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa Verde, CO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-8.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo II/III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Mesa, AZ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-8.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Anasazi, UT</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-8.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar Mesa, UT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-7.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa Verde, CO</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-8.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mancos Cyn, CO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic Mimbres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.D. 1000–1150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarts Ruin, NM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasshopper Pueblo, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1275-1330</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-9.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecos Pueblo, NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1200-1300</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-7.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Data from:
1. Coltrain et al. 2006:Table 1.
2. Martin et al. 1991:Table 3-6.
3. Martin 1999:Table 2.
4. Chisholm and Matson 1994:Table 2.
5. Matson and Chisholm 1991: Table 3.
6. Decker and Tieszen 1989:Table 1.
7. In possession of first author.
8. Ezzo 1993:Table 5.2.
9. Spielmann et al. 1990:Table 2.

b Two sigma range spans the Basketmaker III/Pueblo I transition
The individual producing the most negative δ¹³C value (−13.7 ‰), a young adult male from Tsegi Canyon Cave 3 (631) (Guernsey 1931:13–14), also produced the oldest radiocarbon date with a CI midpoint of cal 368 B.C. and two sigma range of 202–499 B.C. (Table 1; Figure 4). The second burial relatively depleted in δ¹³C (−11.5 ‰) is a one-to two-year-old infant (629) from Marsh Pass Cave 6 (Guernsey and Kidder 1921:30–31) recovered in association with another infant (630) six-to-18 months in age whose δ¹³C value is well within the range of the remainder of the data set. These infants may have been interred together, with calibrated CI midpoints merely a decade apart in the mid-first century B.C.

δ¹⁵N Values

The mean δ¹⁵N value for Basketmaker and all Marsh Pass burials is 7.7 ± 1.2 ‰, in keeping with data from later Formative sites (Table 2). δ¹⁵N values range from 5.7 ‰ to 8.6 ‰, excluding nursing infants and Chacoan burials, and are plotted in Figures 3 and 5; the latter figure includes previously published data on eastern Basketmaker diets (Coltrain et al. 2006). In some cases, particularly in marine food webs, an approximate 3 ‰ spacing between the upper and lower ends of a δ¹⁵N range would signify a trophic level offset in dietary intake (e.g., Coltrain et al. 2004a, 2004b); however, here other variables may have come into play. Reliance on animal protein surely varied somewhat both temporally and spatially but microclimatic variation in effective moisture may also have biased plant δ¹⁵N values, as would intake of pinyon, acorn, or tubers with low δ¹⁵N values characteristic of plants growing in association with nitrogen-fixing mychorrizae. No evidence exists for the cultivation of legumes during the Basketmaker II period.

Among the seven infants in this data set, four (603, 615, 627, 628) show clear trophic level enrichment characteristic of nursing (Figure 5a). Infant 616 may have subsisted on a nursing diet supplemented by weaning food such as maize gruel and thus expressed a moderately elevated δ¹⁵N value, whereas infants 620 and 630 appear to have subsisted entirely on maize gruel or other weaning foods perhaps contributing to their death.

White Dog Cave produced the most depleted mean δ¹⁵N value with the lowest standard deviation (5.7 ± 0.1 ‰) and the oldest suite of radiocarbon dates. The Tsegi Canyon Cave 3 burial (631) also produced a relatively depleted δ¹⁵N value (6.7
‰) and the oldest date in the dataset. Conversely, Chacoan Great House burials exhibited the most elevated mean $\delta^{15}N$ value at 12.1 ± 1.5 ‰, a trophic level above other individuals in the study both individually and collectively (Figure 5a).

Too few burials were confidently sexed to examine these data for sex-based dietary patterns. Likewise, with few exceptions burials were either adult or infant in age, with too few children or adolescents to determine with any confidence if age based differences in diet were present.

**Discussion**

This study significantly increases the number of Basketmaker II individuals both sampled for stable isotopes and securely dated to before the beginning of the Christian era. We add 31 directly dated burials with CI midpoints between ca. cal 400–50 B.C. to a previous data set of merely two Talus village individuals (Coltrain et al. 2006). Earlier studies have reported similar stable isotope values on a total of 12 Basketmaker II burials but dated those samples by association to after the beginning of the Christian era (Geib and Davidson 1994; Martin et al. 1991:243; Martin 1999:495; Matson and Chisholm 1991:449). Similarly, Basketmaker-era radiocarbon dating reported in Smiley (1997:30, Figures B.13, B.16) on yucca seed beads and a burden basket trumpline found with two Canyon del Muerto burials yielded post A.D. 1 dates. The cluster of early dates and enriched $\delta^{13}C$ values on Marsh Pass Basketmaker II burials clearly demonstrates that maize was a staple in Basketmaker II diets well before the beginning of the Christian era.

Figure 2 suggests an occupational hiatus in the northeastern Arizona Basketmaker period between ca. A.D. 50–500, bridged by dates from Talus Village in southwestern Colorado (Coltrain et al. 2006). However, radiocarbon dates from Canyon de Chelly and southeastern Utah also bridge this gap (Smiley 1997:Figure 2.3) as do data from open Basketmaker sites on Rainbow Plateau just north and west of Marsh Pass (Geib and Spurr 2000:180–183), where a tight sequence of dates, 46 of 68 on maize, document cultural continuity from 400 B.C. to A.D. 700. Taken together these chronometric data present a solid body of evidence for the presence of Basketmaker II in northeastern Arizona from ca. 500 B.C. to A.D. 400. Thus it
appears that the hiatus implied in Figure 2 is an artifact of sampling, although every skeletal burial in the Peabody Basketmaker collection that could be confidently identified as a distinct individual was sampled. It is also possible that the immediate Marsh Pass locale was briefly abandoned or that cave burials became less common over time as Basketmaker groups occupied open pithouse settlements. Confirming or rejecting these possibilities would require additional data from existing collections or, perhaps additional fieldwork.

The average δ\(^{13}\)C value for Basketmaker burials indicates a diet of ca. 80 percent C\(_4\) foods based on δ\(^{13}\)C values for plant remains reported in Smiley and Robins (1997:Table A.1). Although a small suite of C\(_4\) wild plant foods may have contributed to isotopic enrichment, the preponderance of evidence indicates maize was the primary carbohydrate staple. In addition to maize, Kidder and Guernsey (1919:156) noted the presence of acorn, pinyon, and “dried fruit” in Basketmaker cave sites and Guernsey (1921:42) added squash, Indian rice grass (\textit{Oryzopsis hymenioides}), and an unidentified chenopod to their inventory of plant remains. Important additional insights come from unpublished Grand Gulch coprolite studies (Aasen 1984; Lepofsky 1986) summarized by Matson (1991:92–96) and Matson and Chisolm (1991). Their results are similar to the above descriptions: maize was the staple with pinyon, small seeds (especially Indian rice grass), squash, and yucca important but supplementary components.

Faunal bone was scarce, mostly in “slivers too small for identification” but numerous fragments of deerskin and mountain sheep hide attest to hunting success (Kidder and Guernsey 1919:156), as did small pronghorn hide pouches (Guernsey 1931:74). A more thorough inventory of faunal remains from the open sites Lukachukai and Salina Springs in northeastern Arizona included rabbits, rodents, dogs or coyote and artiodactyls (Gilpin 1994). Maize and the C\(_4\) chenopod goosefoot were the only charred seeds common to flotation samples from these sites. No C\(_3\) plants are present among the wild foods identified by excavators; however, the unidentified chenopod in Guernsey (1921) may have been amaranth, and rabbit, rodent, or canid diets may have included some C\(_4\) intake and thus made a slight contribution to enriched human δ\(^{13}\)C values.

Given moderately low δ\(^{15}\)N values (Figure 5; Table 2), intake of wild plants may have been biased toward tubers, pinyon, or acorn growing in association with nitrogen-fixing mycorrhizae. Animal protein intake appears to have been relatively low and was likely made up of taxa primarily reliant on C\(_3\) forage (see Coltrain et al. 2006). Reliance on C\(_3\) animal protein and a C\(_4\) carbohydrate staple would tend to bias Basketmakers δ\(^{13}\)C values causing them to slightly underestimate C\(_4\) plant consumption (see Ambrose and Norr 1993; Tieszen and Fagre 1993b). In this regard, Kidder and Guernsey (1919:156) noted the absence of turkey droppings and feathers in Basketmaker caves, in marked contrast to their ubiquity at Puebloan sites, indicating that at sites under study maize-fed turkeys were unlikely to have supplemented protein intake or contributed to elevated δ\(^{13}\)C values. In contrast, coprolites analyzed from Basketmaker II levels at Turkey Pen Cave in Grand Gulch, Utah, included turkey droppings containing maize pollen suggesting the presence of domesticated turkeys (Asasen 1984; Matson and Chisolm 1991:455). However, no clear evidence exists for the processing of turkeys for human consumption and they may have been raised primarily for feathers.

Our data strongly suggest that in the study area the presence of Basketmaker material culture signifies heavy reliance on maize. However, these data do not indicate that the appearance of maize was synonymous with the appearance of Basketmaker groups or resolve questions regarding possible farming activities among Late Archaic foragers and their relationship to the Basketmaker complex. Chronometric data on maize from the Four Corners region are also not in agreement regarding these issues. In a 1994 volume of Kiva (60:2) devoted to Anasazi origins, Gilpin (1994) reported relatively early dates on maize from Salina Springs and Lukachukai, leading him to argue that it was a staple in Basketmaker II diets by approximately 3000 B.P. In contrast, maize dates from Turkey Pen Cave placed Basketmaker occupations there to the beginning of the Christian era (Chisholm and Matson 1994; Matson 1994) and Steve Martin (1999:495), reporting the stable isotope chemistry of four Virgin Anasazi individuals, argued that they were “full-time maize agriculturalists” by A.D. 1. An additional set of dates on maize in Smiley (1997) placed farming in Canyon del Muerto at ca.
Figure 5. (a) Calibrated accelerator radiocarbon confidence interval midpoints and two sigma ranges plotted against individual $\delta^{15}$N ‰ values for all dated western Basketmaker, Puebloan and Chacoan burials in this study. The asterisk symbol (*) represents the estimated age of undated Pueblo Bonito burial 685 recovered from the same context as dated Pueblo Bonito burial 590. (b) Calibrated accelerator radiocarbon confidence interval midpoints and two sigma ranges plotted against individual $\delta^{15}$N ‰ values for eastern Basketmaker and Puebloan burials reported in Coltrain et al. (2006).
2150 B.P. However, an earlier ca. 2700–2300 B.P. maize date exists from Six Toe Shelter in Butler Wash and three calibrated dates on maize from Three Fir Shelter on the northeast edge of Black Mesa (Smiley 1993, 1997) closely approach or exceed 3000 B.P., as do several calibrated dates from Bat Cave with the oldest maize dates from both contexts at or slightly exceeding 4000 B.P. (Smiley 1994:Figure 8). In sum, the earliest dates on maize indicate it was present in the study area by 4000 B.P., but no published evidence exists for cultivation, processing, or volume storage of the cultigen prior to ca. 3000 B.P., and such evidence appears even later in some areas.

Did centuries of casual farming by Archaic groups lead to heavy reliance on maize, or do isolated finds suggest early maize may have entered the study area through logistical foraging activities or trade? As noted, no published evidence exists for casual cultivation other than a handful of early dates on maize from rock shelter contexts. Archaic population densities appear to have been low and work on Rainbow Plateau, Cedar Mesa and elsewhere indicates a relatively long hiatus between Archaic and Basketmaker occupations. Moreover, the appearance of Basketmaker people was not preceded by compelling evidence for increasing reliance on small-packaged plant resources accompanied by increased sedentism and intensified storage, as is apparent in two well-researched, foraging populations in transition to full reliance on domesticates. In the Levant, pre-agricultural Natufian groups heavily dependent on wild cereal grains left substantial pithouses with hearths, grinding implements, intramural storage, and numerous burials (Bar-Yosef 1998, 2002). Similarly, the Hopewellian period in the eastern American Woodlands, during which several indigenous plant taxa were undergoing domestication, is characterized by settlements described as “small villages” with single-wall post houses, deep storage pits, extensive residential midden and burials both grouped and isolated (Smith 1992:213). The Basketmaker groups sampled here are not preceded by an Archaic record that resembles populations known to have been in transition from a foraging to an agricultural economy and, given their high intake of maize, can no longer be thought of as a transitional population themselves. Moreover, Archaic foragers left a highly ephemeral material record in the Four Corners region that is in some areas separated from Basketmaker material culture by a long occupational hiatus. Thus, there is little evidence to indicate that centuries of casual maize farming by mobile foraging groups, if it occurred, led to the appearance of Basketmaker material culture. New findings could clearly alter this view; however, given the current body of published data on this topic, parsimony dictates that Basketmaker farming groups migrated into the region fully dependent on maize-based economic strategies.

Skeletal remains that might allow us to estimate the importance of maize in Late Archaic economies are extremely rare; however, we have dated bone collagen extracted by Chisholm from the single Archaic individual whose stable carbon isotope chemistry was reported in Matson and Chisholm (1991:Table 3). Burial 2 (NA 7523) was recovered from Sand Dune Cave on Navajo Mountain, 85 km southwest of Cedar Mesa, Utah, and provenienced to the early Archaic, Desha horizon. This burial is actually younger than the Desha period (6000–8000 B.P.), directly dating to 4480 ± 60 B.P. (CAMS 10553), normalized with Chisholm’s δ^{13}C value and calibrated with Calib 5.0.2 to a two sigma range of 4887–5309 B.P. with a CI midpoint of 5136 B.P., and cal 2938–3360 B.C. with a CI midpoint of 3187 B.C. Chisholm and Matson reported a δ^{13}C value of −13.9 ‰, surprisingly positive for an individual living in the study area a millennium before the earliest evidence for maize. They attributed isotopic enrichment to reliance on the succulent Opuntia, which is a CAM plant, the wild C_4 grass Sporobolus (sand dropseed) and Amaranthus, the only C_4 genus among the wild chenopods, estimating 40 percent reliance on wild C_4 plant foods. Archaic coprolites from Dust Devil Cave, adjacent to the site, were dominated by Opuntia, Sporobolus, chenopods and cottontail rabbit but devoid of maize, in contrast to coprolites from Turkey Pen Cave in Grand Gulch, dating to ca. 2000 B.P. and dominated by maize and pinyon (Matson and Chisholm 1991:Table 1). Whereas the isotope chemistry of this individual could be used to make an argument for partial reliance on maize during the Archaic period, the early chronometric age of the individual and relevant features of the archaeological record offer no empirical support for such an interpretation.

Finally, both the earliest and most recent buri-
als in the data set deserve additional mention. The 18-year-old male from Tsegi Canyon Cave 3 had a $\delta^{13}C$ value very similar to that of the Sand Dune Cave Late Archaic individual reported above. This burial also produced the oldest Basketmaker CI midpoint, 368 B.C. and two-sigma range, 499–202 B.C. (Figure 4). Recovered partially mummified, sitting with knees drawn up and wrapped in a fur-cordage robe, the young man wore a feather head ornament and string of cylindrical bone beads, clearly identifying him as Basketmaker. An atlatl and two dart points were positioned adjacent to his right arm (Guernsey 1931:13). Maize likely made up merely 40–50 percent of his diet in contrast to ca. 80 percent reliance on maize among the remainder of the Basketmaker data set. It could be argued, given his early chronometric date, that he represents a transitional phase in Basketmaker economic history, one in which maize was less important. However, an infant from White Dog Cave Cist 10 dating to virtually the same CI midpoint, 364 B.C., exhibited a typical Basketmaker diet, as did the 18–19 year-old male from Cist 27 with CI midpoint of 317 B.C. It might also be argued that the Tsegi Canyon male was an elite individual, but his $\delta^{15}N$ value does not indicate a diet higher in animal protein than other burials of similar age, as might be expected for a male with elite status. In fact, low $\delta^{15}N$ values characteristic of this burial and White Dog Cave in general suggest again that intake of animal protein was low and intake of wild plant foods may have been biased toward tubers or pinyon, more so than is evident among later burials. Alternatively, a larger sample of early burials might indeed indicate that maize was less important early in the Basketmaker period or that reliance on maize was less uniform, defining a transitional phase presently unsupported by our data. Addressing this issue would necessitate additional work with burials from areas such as Black Mesa, Arizona, and Grand Gulch, Cedar Mesa, and the Virgin River regions of Utah.

In contrast to the oldest burials in the data set, the Pueblo I/II burials from the Chaco Canyon Great Houses, Pueblo Bonito and Kin Bineola (Table 1), had slightly less positive mean $\delta^{13}C$ values than Basketmaker individuals but extremely positive $\delta^{15}N$ values (Figure 5a), a trophic level or more above the remainder of the adult data set. The elite burial context of the Pueblo Bonito individuals (see Pepper 1909, 1920:216) is discussed further in Carlyle et al. (2007). Elevated $\delta^{15}N$ values clearly indicate a diet considerably higher in animal protein than the Basketmaker II/III diets reported here, as well as Puebloan diets reported elsewhere (Table 2). To characterize these diets relative to other Great House burials and understand their implications would necessitate further work with Chacoan skeletal material.

Conclusion

The isotopic and chronometric data from Basketmaker burials provenienced to the Four Corners region of the American Southwest clearly indicate that maize was a staple in Basketmaker diets by 400 B.C. Our data complement those presented by Geib and Spurr (2000, 2002) for open Basketmaker sites on the Rainbow Plateau just north of Marsh Pass and for Canyon de Chelly to the east and Butler Wash to the north (Smiley 1997; Smiley and Robins 1997). Further, these findings confirm the cultural–temporal scheme presented by Matson (1991:123) in which the White Dog Phase marks the onset of the Basketmaker II period (at 500 B.C. or perhaps as early as 1000 B.C.) as an economic strategy fully focused on maize agriculture and characterized by a distinctive material culture. This position contrasts somewhat with that of Smiley (2002:42) who would extend the White Dog Phase to the fourth millennium B.P. based on considerably earlier maize dates from Three Fir Shelter (3610±170 B.P.; Smiley 1994:174) and Bat Cave (3740±70 B.P.; Wills 1988). We do not argue that earlier people were unaware of maize but the importance of farming is not clear. Dates on maize in excess of 3000 B.P. place the cultigen in the region, but no firm conclusions regarding its dietary role can be drawn given the current absence of isotopic data and evidence for increasing sedentism and volume storage. We would thus reserve use of the White Dog Phase for sites with assemblages that include evidence for heavy reliance on maize, pit-houses, ample storage, atlatl weaponry, elaboration of craft items (shell and bone beads, exotic stone pipes), and, in dry sites, multiwarp, twined sandals (Geib 2006; Hays-Gilpin et al.1998:18).

These issues bear on critical questions regarding the cultural and economic processes that led to the shift to farming in the northern Southwest. The
earliest burials associated with distinctive Basketmaker assemblages also reflect significant use of maize, in clear contrast to Late Archaic assemblages, arguing for a migration of farmers into the region several centuries before the Christian era. Carpenter et al. (2002) have reached a similar conclusion for processes marking the onset of farming in the southern Southwest, although that shift occurred nearly a millennium earlier.
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